



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/69/98

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

14

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin Noonan (3) _____
(2) Robert Hayer (4) _____

Date of Interview 3/4/03

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 6 and 11

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant was informed that any ^{additional} amino acid sequences are by definition heterologous and seq^s, which therefore would not obviate the ^{pending} 102(b) rejection. Discussion also centered on why the proposed amendment to the claims ^{from 12/23/02} would be new matter. It was suggested that specific fusion polypeptides, such as claim 7, should be allowable if well known in the art. Cancelling claim 6 and 11 and addressing the objection

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable to claims must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) 7, 12 & 13 would place the application in condition for allowance now! otherwise a RCE was suggested.

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTO/416 (REV. 1-96)

R. Hayer, P.M.